

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 COPENH 03746 021633Z

41

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ERDA-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00

CIEP-01 COME-00 DODE-00 FEAE-00 FPC-01 H-02 INR-07

INT-05 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-04 USIA-06

SAM-01 OES-06 SP-02 SS-15 STR-04 TRSE-00 ACDA-07

AGRE-00 OIC-02 PA-01 PRS-01 /105 W

----- 063487

P R 021527Z NOV 76

FM AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3519

INFO AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

C O N F I D E N T I A L COPENHAGEN 3746

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG IEA, DA

SUBJ: IEA: US POSITION ON REDUCED DEPENDENCE OBJECTIVES PROPOSAL

REF: (A) COPENHAGEN 3676; (B) STATE 264714

1. FOLLOWING IS A MORE DETAILED DANISH RESPONSE TO REDUCED  
DEPENDENCE OBJECTIVES PROPOSAL THAN WAS REPORTED REF A.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 COPENH 03746 021633Z

2. DENMARK WOULD LIKE THE US TO BE MORE SPECIFIC REGARDING

THE COMMITMENTS IT IS WILLING TO ENTER INTO TO REDUCE DEPENDENCE. WHILE DENMARK REALIZES THAT THE CONGRESS WILL HAVE A SAY IN THIS MATTER, DENMARK HOPES THAT THE US DELEGATION CAN PRESENT A CLEAR INDICATION OF WHAT THE CONGRESS IS LIKELY TO ACCEPT.

3. REGARDING BURDEN SHARING, DENMARK WISHES TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING POINTS: A. YARDSTICKS TO MEASURE BURDEN SHARING - DENMARK BELIEVES THAT THEY SHOULD BE BOTH QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE AND NOT JUST THE LATTER AS THE US PROPOSAL SEEMS TO SUGGEST. AS AN EXAMPLE OF QUALITATIVE YARDSTICKS, FOREIGN MINISTRY OFFICIAL MENTIONED PRICING POLICY; I.E., WHETHER INTERNATIONAL MARKET PRICES OR SOME OTHER MECHANISM WILL BE USED. B. PAST PERFORMANCE - DENMARK URGES THAT PAST PERFORMANCE BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WITH RESPECT TO BURDEN SHARING. WHEN MEASURING PERFORMANCE, ONE SHOULD NOT BEGIN WITH THE CURRENT YEAR BUT SHOULD GO BACK TO THE BEGINNING OF THE OIL CRISIS IN 1973 AND 1974.

4. DENMARK BELIEVES THAT THE KATZ PROPOSAL IS "MIS-LEADING" IN ITS REJECTION OF SUPERNATIONALITY. DENMARK, AND COUNTRIES OF LIKE MIND, ARE NOT SEEKING A SUPERNATIONAL ENERGY BODY. THEY ARE, HOWEVER, SEEKING THE KIND OF COOPERATION AND RECIPROCITY FOUND IN GATT. UNDER SUCH AN ARRANGEMENT THERE IS A DEGREE OF ORGANIZATIONAL INVOLVEMENT IN THE INTERNAL POLICIES OF ADHERING STATES.

5. REGARDING THE REVIEW PROCESS, DENMARK BELIEVES THAT BOTH THE KATZ PROPOSAL AND THE SLT CHAIRMAN'S "PROCESS" PAPER ARE TOO LOOSE. DENMARK WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE REVIEW PROCESS EXPLICITLY DESCRIBED SO THAT EVERY DELEGATION FULLY UNDERSTANDS WHAT THE REVIEW PROCESS CONSISTS OF. DENMARK ALSO BELIEVES THAT THE REVIEW PROCESS WOULD BE STRENGTHENED BY PUTTING IT IN A FRAMEWORK SIMILAR TO THAT FOUND IN THE DAC AND BY GIVING RESPONSIBILITY FOR IMPLEMENTATION TO THE IEA AND OECD SECRETARIATS. THIS WOULD HELP

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 COPENH 03746 021633Z

ENSURE THAT EACH PARTICIPATING COUNTRY RECEIVES EQUAL TREATMENT AND THAT ITS ACTIVITIES ARE EXAMINED IN EQUAL DEPTH.

6. DANISH OFFICIALS ALSO REPEATED HOPE (REF A, PARA 3) THAT SLT WILL SUBMIT A REPORT TO THE GOVERNING BOARD THAT CLEARLY PRESENTS THE ALTERNATIVES AND THAT IT WILL NOT REFLECT AN INEFFECTIVE COMPROMISE

AT THE SLT LEVEL.

DEAN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** BURDENSARING, ENERGY, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION, DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 02 NOV 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** coburnhl  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976COPENH03746  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760408-0742  
**From:** COPENHAGEN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19761191/aaaadaxx.tel  
**Line Count:** 117  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EB  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 76 COPENHAGEN 3676, 76 STATE 264714  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** coburnhl  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 05 APR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <05 APR 2004 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <06 AUG 2004 by coburnhl>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** IEA: US POSITION ON REDUCED DEPENDENCE OBJECTIVES PROPOSAL  
**TAGS:** ENRG, US, DA, IEA  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006